

0020.150.126
CITY/crd
09/20/90

RESOLUTION NO. 848

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY
OF REDMOND, WASHINGTON, ACCEPTING GRANT FUNDS TO
IMPLEMENT THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT OF 1990.

WHEREAS, the 1990 Washington State Legislature passed an Act dealing with Growth Management (HB 2929) which requires all jurisdictions in King County to prepare comprehensive plans consistent with new guidelines, and

WHEREAS, the Act requires that jurisdictions prepare these plans in cooperation with their neighbors and with King County, and

WHEREAS, the Act assigns near term deadlines for the completion of 1) an inventory and regulations for the protection of resource lands and critical areas, 2) a process to identify an urban growth area, and 3) an assessment of land use data collection needs, and

WHEREAS, the Legislature appropriated \$7.4 million dollars for each year of the 1989-1991 biennium to assist the Department of Community Development and local governments to comply with and implement the Act, and

WHEREAS, the Department of Community Development will provide approximately \$2.1 million dollars to a consortium of general purpose governments in King County provided that 60% of those governments representing 75% of the county's population agree to the grant distribution formula and to jointly develop and cooperatively implement a work program; and

WHEREAS, a technical committee of representatives from City of Seattle, King County and Suburban Cities have prepared a draft work program (attachment A), and a grant distribution formula (Attachment B), now, therefore,

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDMOND, WASHINGTON, HEREBY RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. To be eligible for a share of the Growth Management Act grant funds, the City agrees to:

- A. Designate the King County Planning Directors as the group which will manage the completion of the work program, receive regular briefings on the activities and progress of the technical forums, and coordinate preparation of the annual reports to the State Department of Community Development (Attachment C).
- B. Send a representative to and actively participate in a technical forum to accomplish the work program (Attachment A), specifically, the inventory and protection of resources and critical areas, the designation of an urban growth area, and creation of a county-wide data sharing group.
- C. The grant distribution formula (Attachment B), which is that every general purpose government in King County will receive a base amount of \$35,000 plus a per capita allocation based on the jurisdiction's proportional share of total county population.
- D. Designation of King County as the jurisdiction that will accept the grant funds from the Department of Community Development and disburse and administer those funds consistent with the provisions of this resolution including attachments.
- E. Submit a short written description of a high priority project which is unique to this City and upon which the jurisdiction

intends to begin work in this funding year (September 1, 1990 to July 1, 1991).

- F. Complete the Growth Management Needs Assessment and return it to the State Department of Community Development by January 1, 1991, with a copy to the King County Planning Division.

RESOLVED this 18th day of September, 1990.

APPROVED:


MAYOR, DOREEN MARCHIONE

ATTEST/AUTHENTICATED:


CITY CLERK, DORIS A. SCHAIBLE

FILED WITH THE CITY CLERK: 9/13/90
PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL: 9/18/90
RESOLUTION NO. 848

ATTACHMENT B

GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT FUNDING

08/27/98

FUNDS AVAILABLE	\$7,400,000	KING COUNTY REGIONAL ALLOCATION	
DOE WETLANDS FUNDING	(\$373,500)	(\$75,000+percent)	\$2,190,692
COUNTY HOLDOUT (5 COUNTIES)	(\$600,000)		
FUNDS AVAILABLE TO REGIONS	\$6,426,500	1989 POPULATION	1,482,800
4,798,100 STATE POPULATION		PERCENT OF REGIONAL POPULATION	39.91%
3,715,600 POPULATION OF THE 15 REGIONS		CITIES	31
		GROWTH RATE	14.37%

NOTE: BASE AMOUNT IS FOR ONE PLANNER/CONSULTANT FOR ONE YEAR.

JURISDICTION	1980 POPULATION	1990 POPULATION	OPM		PER CAPITA DISTRIBUTION		TOTAL
			10 YEAR PERCENT GROWTH RATE		BASE BASED ON AMOUNT % OF REGION		
ALGONA	1467	1,720	0.12%	17.25%	\$35,000	\$1,279	\$36,279
AUBURN	26417	30,150	2.30%	29.27%	\$35,000	\$25,389	\$60,389
BEAUX ARTS	328	294	0.02%	-10.37%	\$35,000	\$219	\$35,219
BELLEVUE	73903	88890	5.99%	20.28%	\$35,000	\$66,885	\$101,885
BLACK DIAMOND	1170	1,510	0.10%	29.06%	\$35,000	\$1,123	\$36,123
BOHELL (PART) ***	7943	11,500	0.78%	44.78%	\$33,866	\$8,550	\$42,416
CARNATION	951	1,255	0.08%	31.97%	\$35,000	\$933	\$35,933
CLYDE HILL	3229	3096	0.21%	-4.30%	\$35,000	\$2,297	\$37,297
DES MOINES	7378	15,490	1.04%	109.95%	\$35,000	\$11,516	\$46,516
DUVALL	729	2,435	0.16%	234.02%	\$35,000	\$1,810	\$36,810
HEUNCLAW	5427	6,390	0.43%	17.74%	\$35,000	\$4,751	\$39,751
FEDERAL WAY		63,980	4.31%	14.37%	\$35,000	\$47,565	\$82,565
HUNTS POINT	483	504	0.03%	4.35%	\$35,000	\$375	\$35,375
ISSAQUAH	5536	7,390	0.50%	33.49%	\$35,000	\$5,494	\$40,494
KENT	22961	37,400	2.52%	63.06%	\$35,000	\$27,835	\$62,835
KING COUNTY	503363	514,834	34.72%	2.28%	\$35,000	\$382,750	\$417,750
KIRKLAND	18779	37,700	2.54%	100.76%	\$35,000	\$28,028	\$63,028
LAKE FOREST PARK	2485	2800	0.19%	12.68%	\$35,000	\$2,882	\$37,882
MEDINA	3220	2,960	0.20%	-8.07%	\$35,000	\$2,201	\$37,201
MERCER ISLAND	21522	20,630	1.39%	-4.14%	\$35,000	\$15,337	\$50,337
MILTON (PART) ***	218	565	0.04%	159.17%	\$4,449	\$420	\$4,869
NORMANDY PARK	4268	6620	0.45%	55.11%	\$35,000	\$4,922	\$39,922
NORTE BEND	1701	2,420	0.16%	42.27%	\$35,000	\$1,799	\$36,799
PACIFIC	2261	4,880	0.28%	80.45%	\$35,000	\$3,033	\$38,033
REDMOND	23318	35,420	2.39%	51.90%	\$35,000	\$26,333	\$61,333
RENTON	30612	39,340	2.65%	28.51%	\$35,000	\$29,247	\$64,247
SEASAC		24,000	1.62%	14.37%	\$35,000	\$17,843	\$52,843
SEATTLE	493846	501,800	33.84%	1.61%	\$35,000	\$373,060	\$408,060
SKYKOMISH	209	243	0.02%	16.27%	\$35,000	\$181	\$35,181
SNOQUALMIE	1370	1,545	0.10%	12.77%	\$35,000	\$1,149	\$36,149
TUKWILA	3578	10,420	0.73%	202.40%	\$35,000	\$8,844	\$43,844
YARROW POINT	1077	985	0.07%	-8.54%	\$35,000	\$732	\$35,732
	32	1,482,800			\$1,088,315	\$1,102,377	\$2,190,692

*** BOHELL AND MILTON WILL RECEIVE A PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE BASE AMOUNT
BOHELL'S SHARE IS 96.76% MILTON'S SHARE IS 12.71%

- a. Seeking consensus on criteria to guide decisions on the future boundaries of King County's cities.
- b. Identifying and mapping agreed-to future boundaries and areas where there is not agreement.
- c. Identifying key elements of a process for achieving agreement on future city boundaries.

PRODUCTS:

1. Estimate of countywide population and employment growth capacity, based on existing plans and policies by September 1, 1991.
2. Process for delineating and agreeing to future city boundaries by September 1, 1991.
3. Map(s) identifying agreed-to future boundaries and highlighting areas where there is not agreement.

GROWTH MANAGEMENT DATA SHARING GROUP

BACKGROUND: Successful implementation of SHB 2929 will depend upon a high level of cooperation and coordination among local jurisdictions. Such coordination is currently hampered by a lack of comparable land use and development data from jurisdiction to jurisdiction.

OBJECTIVE: To share land use and development data and work toward common methods of compiling and reporting information.

ACTIVITIES: First year activities will involve consultation between the cities and the County on standardization of references.

PRODUCTS: A "first cut" at on countywide development capacity by September 1, 1991 (to be coordinated with efforts under the "Urban Growth Area Designation" element of this work program.)

WORK PROGRAMS FOR INDIVIDUAL KING COUNTY JURISDICTIONS

[Each adopting jurisdiction to provide paragraph describing planned SHB 2929 activities.]

PRELIMINARY

PRELIMINARY

URBAN GROWTH AREAS DESIGNATION

BACKGROUND: SHB 2929 requires that by July 1, 1991, King County begin consulting with each city regarding the location of an Urban Growth Area. Urban Growth Areas must include areas and densities sufficient to permit the urban growth that is projected to occur in King County over a twenty year period. Due to the complexity of urban growth issues, ongoing planning efforts and other considerations, it is imperative that the County and cities begin work on growth areas immediately. A cooperative effort will ensure that the legitimate interests of all jurisdictions are considered in the ultimate designations.

OBJECTIVE: To foster inter-jurisdictional cooperation and provide an accurate information base upon which King County Urban Growth Area decisions will be made.

ACTIONS: The urban/rural boundary of the King County Comprehensive Plan, together with the land use, development and urban service policies of the County and cities, will provide the basis upon which Urban Growth Area decisions will be made. The first step toward making these decisions will be to determine the growth capacity of the county and cities, based on existing plans and policies and on criteria established for Urban Growth Areas. King County and the cities of King County will:

1. Establish and participate in an Urban Growth Area Technical Forum, which will seek consensus on criteria, methodologies and format to be used by the County and each city to estimate their population and employment growth capacities.
2. Each city and the County will estimate their own capacities for population and employment growth, based upon local plans and policies and consistent with agreed to criteria, methodologies and format.
3. The Urban Growth Area Technical Forum will compile the capacity estimates prepared by King County jurisdictions.
4. As a second priority, the Urban Growth Area Technical Forum will take initial steps toward delineation of future annexation areas. This effort will be undertaken in light of SHB 2929's recognition that cities are the appropriate providers of urban government services. Initial steps will include:

PRELIMINARY
ATTACHMENT "A"

WORK PROGRAM

RESOURCE AND CRITICAL LANDS TECHNICAL FORUM

BACKGROUND: SHB 2929 requires that King County, and each city within King County, designate natural resource lands and critical areas within their respective jurisdictions. The County and each city must then adopt development regulations to assure the conservation of resource lands, and to preclude land uses or development that is compatible with critical areas. These actions must be completed by September 1, 1991.

OBJECTIVE: To facilitate and coordinate the designation and regulation of natural resource lands and critical areas by King County and each city within King County, as required by Sections 6 and 17, SHB 2929.

ACTIONS: King County and the cities of King County will establish and participate in a Resource and Critical Lands Technical Forum charged with carrying out this objective. The Technical Forum will undertake the following activities:

1. Seek consensus on a common or compatible approach to the criteria for designation and regulation of both natural resource lands and critical areas.
2. Coordinate designation, mapping and other issues relating to the political boundaries between jurisdictions.
3. Exchange ideas, experience and expertise relating to the designation and regulation of natural resource lands and critical areas.
4. Explore the joint use of consultants, data and other resources among jurisdictions.
5. Coordinate with the designation and regulation efforts of Snohomish and Pierce Counties.
6. Periodically brief elected officials through established intergovernmental forums.

PRODUCT: A coordinated and compatible system of natural resource lands and critical area regulation throughout King County by September 1, 1991.

ATTACHMENT "C"

GOVERNMENTAL FRAMEWORK

For approximately the past five years, the general purpose governments in King County have been working more cooperatively to resolve land use and service delivery issues. The Solid Waste Interlocal Forum and the Eastside Transportation Forum are two examples of effective regional problem solving groups in this area. Building on the success of these and other groups, the county's general purpose governments have been discussing the creation of a more formal COUNTYWIDE PLANNING COUNCIL "to assure coordination, consensus, consistency and compliance" among local governments as they implement the Growth Management Act and adopt comprehensive planning policies to be applied countywide. Decisions on the formation of a countywide planning council and other strategies for local coordination and cooperation are anticipated during Fall 1990 and Winter 1991.

In the interim, the general purpose governments in this county have agreed to designate the King County Planning Directors Association as an appropriate forum for discussing issues, exchanging information, promoting standardization and consistency, encouraging cooperation and providing technical assistance. The directors have been functioning in this capacity for several years with considerable success. The group meets regularly each month, attracts planning directions from City of Seattle, King County, and from most of the suburban cities and small towns in the county.